CCA01



COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Board of County Commissioners

Through: Stephen P. Lee, Deputy County Attorney

From: Henry M. Brown, Assistant County Attorney

Ext. 5736

Concur: Pam Hastings Administrative Manager/Public Works Department

Kathleen Myer, Principal Engineer/Engineering Division

Date: October 23, 2002

Subject: Settlement Authorization on Costs

C. R. 427, 1871887

Owners: Indravaden G. Patel and Lilabel Patel

Seminole County v. Patel, et al. Case No.: 99-CA-1290-13-G

This Memorandum requests settlement authorization by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for costs. The total settlement sum is \$47,531.25.

PROPERTY

A. Location Data

Parcel No. 187 is a fee simple acquisition consisting of a small triangular shaped strip of land which is located along the east side of County Road 427 beginning at the north property line and extending through the northern access point south to a point. The taking consists of 580 square feet.

Parcel No. 887 is a permanent drainage easement consisting of 3,650 square feet. The strip of land is irregular in shape and extends along the entire east right-of-way line of County Road 427.

B. Street Address

1681 C.R. 427 North Cassel berry, FL 327 18

II BACKGROUND

In May, 2002, the BCC approved the mediated settlement of Parcel Nos. 187/887. The settlement was at the sum of \$45,000.00, exclusive of costs, plus a County Engineering commitment to redesign the entrance driveways. The design plans provided for flared driveways at this convenience store/gas station site. In the before, the gas station had radius drives. At mediation, the flared drives were found to be in error and Engineering committed to a redesign to establish the prior existing radius drives.

The case was settled at \$45000.00, plus the engineering commitment to redesign the driveways. Statutory attorney's fees were established at \$5,360.00. The effect of the settlement to include correction of the design error was to cap the settlement at \$45,000.00 and attorney's fees at \$5,360.00.

III COST CLAIM

The owners claimed costs totaling \$55,063.48. The engineering and appraisal costs related in significant part to design correction. The costs were reported as follows:

(1)	Marketing Expert	\$ 6,156.25
(2)	Engineering Expert	\$17,234.23
(3)	Appraisal Expert	<u>\$31,673.00</u>
,	Total Cost Claim	\$55,063.48

IV NEGOTIATION

In negotiation, the County challenged the hourly rates as too high, and number of hours as too many. However, it is difficult to challenge costs when the settlement corrects an error to the County's design plans. At a hearing on costs, the experts need only to demonstrate that the agreed settlement utilized the work product of the experts to correct the plans.

V SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS/COST AVOIDANCE

Under negotiation, the claimed costs are reduced approximately 14% from \$55,063.48 down to \$47,531.25. At hearing, it would be difficult to argue that the costs were unnecessary or excessive after the County has recognized in settlement of the case that the costs were necessary to correct the driveway design error.

If this matter goes to hearing, the County will need to employ expert witnesses and incur other costs. It is not expected with the correction of a design

error actually occurring, that a hearing would reduce costs any greater than the negotiated 14%.

VI RECOMMENDATION

This office recommends settlement of this cost matter at \$47,531.25.

HMB/sb

\\CA_CSB\SYS\CA\USERS\\CASB01\MY DOCUMENTS\MEMAGENDA ITEM LITIGATION CR 427 I PATEL EXPERT COSTS.DOC

